



## Stress Combination and their Interaction in Plants (SCIP) Database

Website link: <http://www.nipgr.res.in/scipdb.php>

Effect on wheat cultivars (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

### Interaction between the fungal pathogens under the combined stress treatment at plant interface

Stress 1: *Gaeumannomyces graminis*

Stress 2: *Septoria nodorum*

Stage of plant: 7-10 weeks old plant

The table shows the effect of fungus *G. graminis* on *S. nodorum*, causing lesion area and number of lesions on the leaves of winter wheat cultivars Maris Huntsman, Champlein, Flinor and spring wheat cv. Sappo

Effect of the predisposition of <i>G. graminis</i> on lesion size and pycnidial production				
Cultivars	Treatment	Response under combined stress (Type B parameters)		
		Lesion area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	Number of lesions/segments	Numbers of pycnidia/unit area of the lesion
Flinor	<i>G. graminis</i> + <i>S. nodorum</i> (10 <sup>5</sup> conidia/mL) (Sequential stress)	26.7	N/A	N/A
	<i>G. graminis</i> + <i>S. nodorum</i> (10 <sup>4</sup> conidia/mL) (Sequential stress)	18.8	N/A	N/A
	<i>G. graminis</i> + <i>S. nodorum</i> (10 <sup>3</sup> conidia/mL) (Sequential stress)	9.5	N/A	N/A
	<i>S. nodorum</i> (10 <sup>5</sup> conidia/mL)	11.8	N/A	N/A
	<i>S. nodorum</i> (10 <sup>4</sup> conidia/mL)	9.9	N/A	N/A
	<i>S. nodorum</i> (10 <sup>3</sup> conidia/mL)	0.2	N/A	N/A
	<i>G. graminis</i> + <i>S. nodorum</i> (Sequential stress)	N/A	18	N/A
	<i>S. nodorum</i>	N/A	4.4	N/A
Maris Ranger	<i>G. graminis</i> + <i>S. nodorum</i> (10 <sup>5</sup> conidia/mL) (Sequential stress)	17.4	N/A	N/A
	<i>G. graminis</i> + <i>S. nodorum</i> (10 <sup>4</sup> conidia/mL) (Sequential	11.2	N/A	N/A

	stress)			
	<i>G. graminis</i> + <i>S. nodorum</i> ( $10^3$ conidia/mL) (Sequential stress)	2.7	N/A	N/A
	<i>S. nodorum</i> ( $10^5$ conidia/mL)	6.1	N/A	N/A
	<i>S. nodorum</i> ( $10^4$ conidia/mL)	2.7	N/A	N/A
	<i>S. nodorum</i> ( $10^3$ conidia/mL)	6.1	N/A	N/A
	<i>G. graminis</i> + <i>S. nodorum</i> (Sequential stress)	2.7	23.4	N/A
	<i>S. nodorum</i>	0.9	8	N/A
Champlein	<i>G. graminis</i> + <i>S. nodorum</i> ( $10^5$ conidia/mL) (Sequential stress)	14.6	N/A	1.7
	<i>G. graminis</i> + <i>S. nodorum</i> ( $10^4$ conidia/mL) (Sequential stress)	8.3	N/A	0.6
	<i>S. nodorum</i> ( $10^5$ conidia/mL)	4.1	N/A	0.3
	<i>S. nodorum</i> ( $10^4$ conidia/mL)	4.1	N/A	0.2

**Effect of the predisposition of *G. graminis* on lesion area of leaf segment inoculated with 5µl droplet of *S. nodorum***

Cultivars	Treatment	Lesion area (mm <sup>2</sup> )		
		Days after sowing plants		
		28	44	63
Sappo	<i>G. graminis</i> + <i>S. nodorum</i> ( $10^5$ conidia/mL) (Sequential stress)	5.8	20.5	26.3
	<i>G. graminis</i> + <i>S. nodorum</i> ( $10^4$ conidia/mL) (Sequential stress)	3.3	13.7	16.3
	<i>S. nodorum</i> ( $10^5$ conidia/mL)	6.7	10.8	17.5
	<i>S. nodorum</i> ( $10^4$ conidia/mL)	2.8	9.7	13.4

**Effect of the predisposition of *G. graminis* on germination of *S. nodorum* conidium**

Cultivars	Treatment	Percentage germination								
		Time in hours after inoculation of <i>S. nodorum</i>								
		2	4	6	8	10	13	16	19	22
Champlein	<i>G. graminis</i> + <i>S. nodorum</i> ( $2.8 \times 10^6$ conidia/mL)	80	93.3	94.7	98.7	97.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

(Sequential stress)									
<i>S. nodorum</i> ( $2.8 \times 10^6$ conidia/mL)	68	90.7	96	97.3	98.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Length of germ-tubes (μm)</b>									
<i>G. graminis</i> + <i>S. nodorum</i> ( $2.8 \times 10^6$ conidia/mL) (Sequential stress)	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>S. nodorum</i> ( $2.8 \times 10^6$ conidia/mL)	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Number of germ-tubes</b>									
<i>G. graminis</i> + <i>S. nodorum</i> ( $2.8 \times 10^6$ conidia/mL) (Sequential stress)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	80.3	142.8	188.9	244.6	251.9
<i>S. nodorum</i> ( $2.8 \times 10^6$ conidia/mL)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	68.9	123.1	185	238	221

(N/A- Not available)

For raw data – Click here (.xlsx file)

Reference— Jenkins PD, Jones GD (1980) Predisposition to *Septoria nodorum* as a result of take-all (*Gaeumannomyces graminis*) infection of wheat. Ann. appl. Biol. **95**:47-52

**Note:** Values are presented as it is from the source article without subjecting to the calculation.

“\*- For more information on parameters classification, please refer to ‘methodology’ tab

**The inference from the study:** Jenkins and Jones, 1980 study focus on the predisposition of root pathogen *G. graminis* on the foliar pathogen *S. nodorum* causing infection in wheat plants. The studies performed on the cultivars Flinor, Maris Ranger, Champlein and Sappo revealed that the pre inoculation of *G. graminis* increased the number of lesions and more pycnidia per unit area of lesion on leaves in comparison with the singly inoculated *S. nodorum* only. **The overall observation concludes the increased susceptibility of plants to foliar pathogens in the presence of root infection.**